

**REMARKS**

The following remarks are responsive to the Office Action of August 4, 2009.

In the Office Action dated August 4, 2009, a restriction requirement under PCT Rule 13.1 was set forth requiring the Applicant to elect a single invention for examination. According to the Office Action, the groups of inventions being restricted were indicated as follows:

Species 1: Figure 2; and

Species 2: Figure 3.

First, it is submitted that claims 1-16 read on Species 1. Furthermore, it is submitted that claims 17-18 read on Species 2. Claims 19-21 are considered generic and Figures 1 and 4-6 are applied to both groups.

In response to the restriction requirement issued in the Office Action, the Applicant elects without traverse the claims of Species 1, which include claims 1-16 and generic claims 19-21 for immediate examination. Claims 17-18 are withdrawn from consideration at this time.

This application is believed to be proper form for examination and an early favorable action on the merits is requested. The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney if that would be helpful in resolving any matters that might remain.

Respectfully submitted,

/david a. frey/

---

David A. Frey, Reg. No. 43,618  
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 3700  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1698  
(312) 569-1000 (telephone)  
(312) 569-3000 (facsimile)  
Customer No. 08968

Date: September 4, 2009

CH01/25388572.1